MATH 396 - Assignment

Trevor Dallow

April 17, 2017

Question 1 Draw the bifurcation diagram for fa(x) = x^3 + ax. Make sure you indicate which segments correspond to stable and unstable periodic orbits. (Note: the bifurcation diagram contains all periodic points, not just the fixed points.)

f' = 3x^2 + a


Solve: f' = 1 => 3x^2 + a = 1 f = x => x^3 + ax

a = 1, x = 0


When a >= 1, there is one fixed point, when a < 1, there are 3 fixed points, there are no other periodic points. As lim a -> 1^-, two fixed points merge towards a third fixed point at x = 0 at the ciritical value (bifurcation point) a = 1. Continuing to increase a from 1 yields no change in the position of the fixed point.

When a = 1, there is one fixed point, of neither stability. When a > 1, there is one unstable, fixed point. When a < 1 there is one stable fixed point (at x = 0) and two unstable fixed points.

a = -1:1  
x = seq(from = -2, to = 2, by = .01)  
  
plot(x, x, type = "l", main = "x^3+ax for various a and degrees", ylab = "f")  
grid(nx = 2, ny = 2)  
  
f = function(a, x) {  
 x^3+a\*x  
}  
  
for (i in 1:length(a)) {  
 lines(x, f(a[i], x), col = i + 1, lty = 1)  
}  
  
for (i in 1:length(a)) {  
 lines(x, f(a[i], f(a[i], x)), col = i + 1, lty = 2)  
}  
  
legend("topleft", c(paste0("a(", a, ") n(1)"), paste0("a(", a, ") n(2)")), col = 2:(length(a) + 1), pch = c("\_", "\_", "\_", "-", "-", "-"), title = "f^n\_a")



a = seq(from = -5, to = 5, by = 0.1)  
  
f2 = function(a, x) {  
 f(a,x)^3+a\*f(a,x)  
}  
  
z<-outer(a, x, f)  
contour(a,x,z, nlevels = 0, xlab = "a", ylab = "p", main = "Partial Bifurcation Diagram", drawlabels = F, col = 1)  
z2<-outer(a, x, f2)  
contour(a, x, z2, nlevels = 0, xlab = "a", ylab = "p", main = "Partial Bifurcation Diagram", add = T, drawlabels = F, col = 2)  
grid(nx = 2, ny = 2)  
legend("topright", c("f^1", "f^2"), pch = "\_", col = 1:2)



Plot partial bifurcation diagram and indicate segments that correspond to stable and unstable periodic orbits.

Question 2 ...

Question 3 Refer to Chapter 2 notes (pages 10,11) to prove that if a is a bifurcation point of fa(x), then dxfa(x) = 1 at a = -a; x = p\_-a (here we write the fixed point as p(a) to exhibit its dependence on a).

Let p\_-a be a fixed point of f\_a when a = -a and assume f\_a(x) is differentiable in both x and a at (-a, p\_-a). Given that -a is a bifurcation point of f\_a(x), suppose dxf\_a(x) =/= 1 at a = -a, x = p\_-a. We know that, inorder for -a tobe a bifurcation point of f\_a(x), the following two conditions must hold: dxf\_a =1, f\_a = x So it must be the case that dx f\_a = 1 and f\_a = x at a = -a, x = p\_-a But if -a is a bifurction point, it must be the case that dxf\_a = 1 at a = -a, x = p\_-a and so we have a contradiction. Thus dxf\_a = 1 at a = -a, x = p\_-a when -a is a bifurcation point.

Also, at a period doubling bifurcation point -a of f\_a, that necessarily dxf\_a(x) = -1 at a = -a, x = p\_-a. And note that this is what we observe graphically (see the notes page 4 Lecture 2 and page 28 of the presentation that is posted in the 'Lectures' folder on Canvas (at the top!).

Now, suppose -a is a period doubling bifurcation point of f\_a. We wish to show that dxf\_a = -1 at a = -a, x = p\_-a. If -a is a period doubling bifurcation point of f\_a, it must be the case that: dxf^2\_a = 1, f^2\_a = x Since the period 1 point remains throughout bifurcation, dxf\_a =/= 1 And so dxf^2\_-a = 1 => dxf\_a = +- 1 (since dxf^2\_a = (dxf\_a)^2 and 1 = (+-1)^2) But since dxf^k\_a =/= (where k is some integer > 1), it must be the case that dxf\_a = -1 at a = -a, x = p\_-a. Thus dxfa = -1 at a period doubling bifurcation point a = -a, x = p\_-a.


Question 5 Shadow Lines (a) Why doesn't the diagram have points from the bottom (x = 0) to the top (x = 1)? That is, although fa(x) is defined on the entire interval [0, 1], we only see points in the final state diagram in a smaller subinterval.

The diagram is not defined over the entirety of its interval [0, 1] because the maximal value for the logistic equation is a/4 for varying a [0, 4]. No points exist in the diagram for values > a/4. As well, no points exist below a lower boundary of f(v\_a) where v\_a = f(0.5) for te logistic equation.

1. Determine the curves where there is a higher density of points in the final state diagram and plot them.

Let v\_a = f\_a(0.5) denote the upper bpoundary of the final state diagram of the logistic equation, as well as the highest peak in the density histogram. Further, let f\_a(v\_a), f\_a^2(v\_a), f\_a^3(v\_a) the curves of the next highest peaks in the density histogram. The reason for the prominance of these points is that the peaks of the density histogram indicate the points that define the shadow lines.

a = seq(from = 0, to = 4, by = .001)  
x = .5  
n = 5  
f = a\*x\*(1-x)  
plot(a, f, type = 'n', xlim = c(3,4), ylim = c(0,1), main = "Shadow Lines of Logistic Equation")  
for (i in 1:n) {  
 lines(a, f, col = i)  
 f = a\*f\*(1-f)  
}  
legend("bottomleft", c("v", paste0("f^", 1:(n-1))), pch = "\_", col = 1:n)



Question 8 A dynamical system depends on a parameter a. Initially, you observe a steady state (i.e., a period 1 orbit). As a increases you observe a period 2 oscillation appearing at a = a[1] = 7. Then at a = a[2] = 10 you observe that the period 2 orbits splits into a period 4 orbit. As a continues to increase a series of period-doublings occurs. Assuming Universality, at what a value would you expect to observe the onset of chaos? ('Assuming Universality' means assuming that the system will go through a series of period-doubling bifurcations as the parameter a changes, and that the distance (in a) between bifurcations is given by the Feigenbaum constant.)

Observed chaos can be expected to happen when a exceeds the Figenbaum constant a\_inf. Also we know that the rate at which bifurcations occur is the same for many dynamical systems, so assuming that this system behaves similarily to the logistic equation, we may use the rates at which the logistic equation bifurcates to help us determine the value that we expect to see observed chaos.

a = matrix(NA, nrow = 7)  
p = matrix(NA, nrow = 7)  
d = matrix(NA, nrow = 7)  
r = matrix(NA, nrow = 7)  
  
a[2] = 7  
a[3] = 10  
p[1] = 1  
d[3] = a[3] - a[2]  
r[3] = 4.7514  
r[4] = 4.6562  
r[5] = 4.6682  
r[6] = 4.6687  
r[7] = 4.6693  
for (i in 2:length(p)) {  
 p[i] = p[i - 1] \* 2  
}  
  
for (i in 4:length(r)) {  
 d[i] = (1 / r[i]) \* d[i - 1]  
 a[i] = d[i] + a[i - 1]  
}  
  
BifPointTab = cbind(a, p, d, r)  
colnames(BifPointTab) = c("Bifurcation Point", "Period", "Difference", "Ratio")  
BifPointTab

## Bifurcation Point Period Difference Ratio  
## [1,] NA 1 NA NA  
## [2,] 7.00000 2 NA NA  
## [3,] 10.00000 4 3.000000000 4.7514  
## [4,] 10.64430 8 0.644302221 4.6562  
## [5,] 10.78232 16 0.138019412 4.6682  
## [6,] 10.81188 32 0.029562707 4.6687  
## [7,] 10.81822 64 0.006331293 4.6693

print(paste0("Approx. value of a where chaos can be observed: ~", BifPointTab[7, 1]))

## [1] "Approx. value of a where chaos can be observed: ~10.8182156337291"

We need more ratios and further analysis to find a better approximation than ~10.8182, the true value would be slightly larger than this value.